Exploratory and Confirmatory Causal Inference for High Dimensional Interventions

(Drawing from joint work with Christian Fong, Molly Roberts, and Brandon Stewart)

Stanford University ~> University of Chicago

Grimmer (Stanford)

Discovery of Treatments from Text

・ 「「」 ・ ・ 」 ト ・ ・ 」 ト ・ ・

Causal Inference with Text Treatments

- How does **X** affect **Y**?

→ - = →

Causal Inference with Text Treatments

- How does **X** affect **Y**?

- X is often high-dimensional \rightsquigarrow encoded in natural language





Grimmer (Stanford)

Discovery of Treatments from Text



'Brutal Anti-Cruz Attack Ad Just 30 Seconds Of Candidate's Photo Displayed Without Any Text, Voiceover, Music' *The Onion*

Grimmer (Stanford)

Discovery of Treatments from Text





Shelby Hall Engineering and Computing Sciences

ICIDIC I



Causal effect of text?

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

(Discover) Causal effect of text?

Э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Candidate Backgrounds: Running Example for Paper

How do voters evaluate candidates?

- Candidates have policy positions and partisan affiliation
- Also have lives before elected office
- What biographical facts affect voter evaluations?

Survey Experiment

Э

《曰》 《圖》 《문》 《문》

Document 1: He earned his Juris Doctor in 1997 from Yale Law School, where he operated free legal clinics for low-income residents of New Haven, Connecticut.

Document 2: He served in South Vietnam from 1970 to 1971 during the Vietnam War in the Army Rangers' 75th Ranger Regiment, attached to the 173rd Airborne Brigade. He participated in 24 helicopter assaults...

Document 1: He earned his Juris Doctor in 1997 from Yale Law School, where he operated free legal clinics for low-income residents of New Haven, Connecticut.

Document 2: He served in South Vietnam from 1970 to 1971 during the Vietnam War in the Army Rangers' 75th Ranger Regiment, attached to the 173rd Airborne Brigade. He participated in 24 helicopter assaults...

Observe difference in evaluations of biographies

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Document 1: He earned his Juris Doctor in 1997 from Yale Law School, where he operated free legal clinics for low-income residents of New Haven, Connecticut.

Document 2: He served in South Vietnam from 1970 to 1971 during the Vietnam War in the Army Rangers' 75th Ranger Regiment, attached to the 173rd Airborne Brigade. He participated in 24 helicopter assaults...

Observe difference in evaluations of biographies \rightsquigarrow Difficult to generalize underlying features (treatments) that drive response

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Current Approaches: Text-Based Survey Experiments Condition 1:

[] majored in economics and political science at Miami University in Oxford, Ohio, where he became interested in the writings of Friedrich Hayek, Ludwig von Mises, and Milton Friedman...

Condition 2:

[] majored in economics and political science at Miami University in Oxford, Ohio, where he became interested in the writings of Karl Marx, Howard Zinn, and Noam Chomsky...

Current Approaches: Text-Based Survey Experiments Condition 1:

[] majored in economics and political science at Miami University in Oxford, Ohio, where he became interested in the writings of Friedrich Hayek, Ludwig von Mises, and Milton Friedman...

Condition 2:

[] majored in economics and political science at Miami University in Oxford, Ohio, where he became interested in the writings of Karl Marx, Howard Zinn, and Noam Chomsky...

Design isolates the feature of the text (treatment) that drives the response

< ロト (伊) (王) (-)

Current Approaches: Text-Based Survey Experiments Condition 1:

[] majored in economics and political science at Miami University in Oxford, Ohio, where he became interested in the writings of Friedrich Hayek, Ludwig von Mises, and Milton Friedman...

Condition 2:

[] majored in economics and political science at Miami University in Oxford, Ohio, where he became interested in the writings of Karl Marx, Howard Zinn, and Noam Chomsky...

Design isolates the feature of the text (treatment) that drives the response \rightarrow What if you don't know interesting features (treatments) of text?

- "Interesting" treatments must be known in advance

- "Interesting" treatments must be known in advance
 - Occurs frequently in population of texts

- "Interesting" treatments must be known in advance
 - Occurs frequently in population of texts
 - Large effect on individuals' decisions

- "Interesting" treatments must be known in advance
 - Occurs frequently in population of texts
 - Large effect on individuals' decisions
- We invert current approach: we have population of texts and want to discover treatments

- "Interesting" treatments must be known in advance
 - Occurs frequently in population of texts
 - Large effect on individuals' decisions
- We invert current approach: we have population of texts and want to discover treatments

Automatically discover treatments + Estimate marginal effects

Grimmer (Stanford)

1

《曰》 《聞》 《문》 《문》

 Prove: interventions at text level identify marginal effects of underlying treatments (Average Marginal Component Effect (AMCE) is identified)

- Prove: interventions at text level identify marginal effects of underlying treatments (Average Marginal Component Effect (AMCE) is identified)
- 2) Method for discovering features (treatments)

- Prove: interventions at text level identify marginal effects of underlying treatments (Average Marginal Component Effect (AMCE) is identified)
- 2) Method for discovering features (treatments)
- 3) Method for estimating marginal effect for discovered features (treatments)

- Average Marginal Component Effect (AMCE): Isolate effect of one treatment, holding other treatments constant

- Average Marginal Component Effect (AMCE): Isolate effect of one treatment, holding other treatments constant
- Let \boldsymbol{Z}_i be i's binary feature vector
- Ex: $\boldsymbol{Z}_i = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0)$

- Average Marginal Component Effect (AMCE): Isolate effect of one treatment, holding other treatments constant
- Let \boldsymbol{Z}_i be i's binary feature vector
- Ex: $\boldsymbol{Z}_i = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0)$

AMCE_k =
$$\int_{Z_{-k}} \mathbb{E} \left[Y(Z_k = 1, Z_{-k}) - Y(Z_k = 0, Z_{-k}) \right] m(Z_{-k}) dZ_{-k}$$

- Average Marginal Component Effect (AMCE): Isolate effect of one treatment, holding other treatments constant
- Let \boldsymbol{Z}_i be i's binary feature vector
- Ex: $\boldsymbol{Z}_i = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0)$

AMCE_k =
$$\int_{Z_{-k}} \mathbb{E} \left[Y(Z_k = 1, Z_{-k}) - Y(Z_k = 0, Z_{-k}) \right] m(Z_{-k}) dZ_{-k}$$

- Average Marginal Component Effect (AMCE): Isolate effect of one treatment, holding other treatments constant
- Let \boldsymbol{Z}_i be i's binary feature vector
- Ex: $\boldsymbol{Z}_i = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0)$

AMCE_k =
$$\int_{Z_{-k}} \mathbb{E} \left[Y(Z_k = 1, Z_{-k}) - Y(Z_k = 0, Z_{-k}) \right] m(Z_{-k}) dZ_{-k}$$
- Average Marginal Component Effect (AMCE): Isolate effect of one treatment, holding other treatments constant
- Let \boldsymbol{Z}_i be i's binary feature vector
- Ex: $\boldsymbol{Z}_i = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0)$

AMCE_k =
$$\int_{Z_{-k}} \mathbb{E} \left[Y(Z_k = 1, Z_{-k}) - Y(Z_k = 0, Z_{-k}) \right] m(Z_{-k}) dZ_{-k}$$

- Average Marginal Component Effect (AMCE): Isolate effect of one treatment, holding other treatments constant
- Let \boldsymbol{Z}_i be i's binary feature vector
- Ex: $\boldsymbol{Z}_i = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0)$

AMCE_k =
$$\int_{Z_{-k}} \mathbb{E} \left[Y(Z_k = 1, Z_{-k}) - Y(Z_k = 0, Z_{-k}) \right] m(Z_{-k}) dZ_{-k}$$

- An individual sees a text ($X_{d[i]}$: text seen by i)
- Function: text \rightsquigarrow treatments in text ($\boldsymbol{Z}_i \equiv g(\boldsymbol{X}_i)$)
 - \boldsymbol{Z}_i is a low-dimensional rep of \boldsymbol{X}_i , describing treatments

- An individual sees a text ($X_{d[i]}$: text seen by i)
- Function: text \rightsquigarrow treatments in text $(\boldsymbol{Z}_i \equiv g(\boldsymbol{X}_i))$

 \boldsymbol{Z}_i is a low-dimensional rep of \boldsymbol{X}_i , describing treatments Assume:

- An individual sees a text ($\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]}$: text seen by i)
- Function: text \rightsquigarrow treatments in text $(\boldsymbol{Z}_i \equiv g(\boldsymbol{X}_i))$

 \boldsymbol{Z}_i is a low-dimensional rep of \boldsymbol{X}_i , describing treatments Assume:

1) No "spillover" (SUTVA, Rubin 1986: $Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_N) = Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]})$)

- An individual sees a text ($\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]}$: text seen by i)
- Function: text \rightsquigarrow treatments in text $(\boldsymbol{Z}_i \equiv g(\boldsymbol{X}_i))$

 \boldsymbol{Z}_i is a low-dimensional rep of \boldsymbol{X}_i , describing treatments Assume:

- 1) No "spillover" (SUTVA, Rubin 1986: $Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_N) = Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]})$)
- 2) Randomization or selection on observables: a) $Y_i(x) \perp X_{d[i]}$ and $\Pr(X_{d[i]} = x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, or

- An individual sees a text ($\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]}$: text seen by *i*)
- Function: text \rightsquigarrow treatments in text ($\boldsymbol{Z}_i \equiv g(\boldsymbol{X}_i)$)

 \boldsymbol{Z}_i is a low-dimensional rep of \boldsymbol{X}_i , describing treatments Assume:

- 1) No "spillover" (SUTVA, Rubin 1986: $Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_N) = Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]})$)
- 2) Randomization or selection on observables:
 - a) $Y_i(x) \perp \boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]}$ and $\Pr(\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]} = x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, or
 - b) There exists a set of observed covariates, \boldsymbol{C} such that $Y_i(x) \perp \boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]} | \boldsymbol{C}_i$ and $\Pr(\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]} = x | \boldsymbol{C}_i) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

- An individual sees a text ($\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]}$: text seen by *i*)
- Function: text \rightsquigarrow treatments in text ($\boldsymbol{Z}_i \equiv g(\boldsymbol{X}_i)$)

 \boldsymbol{Z}_i is a low-dimensional rep of \boldsymbol{X}_i , describing treatments Assume:

- 1) No "spillover" (SUTVA, Rubin 1986: $Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_N) = Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]})$)
- 2) Randomization or selection on observables: a) $Y_i(x) \perp \mathbf{X}_{d[i]}$ and $\Pr(\mathbf{X}_{d[i]} = x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, or
- 3) Sufficiency: For all \boldsymbol{X} and \boldsymbol{X}' such that $g(\boldsymbol{X}) = g(\boldsymbol{X}')$ then $E[Y_i(g(\boldsymbol{X}))] = E[Y_i(g(\boldsymbol{X}'))].$

- An individual sees a text ($\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]}$: text seen by *i*)
- Function: text \rightsquigarrow treatments in text $(\boldsymbol{Z}_i \equiv g(\boldsymbol{X}_i))$

 \boldsymbol{Z}_i is a low-dimensional rep of \boldsymbol{X}_i , describing treatments Assume:

- 1) No "spillover" (SUTVA, Rubin 1986: $Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_N) = Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]})$)
- 2) Randomization or selection on observables: a) $Y_i(x) \perp X_{d[i]}$ and $\Pr(X_{d[i]} = x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, or
- 3) Sufficiency: For all \boldsymbol{X} and \boldsymbol{X}' such that $g(\boldsymbol{X}) = g(\boldsymbol{X}')$ then $E[Y_i(g(\boldsymbol{X}))] = E[Y_i(g(\boldsymbol{X}'))].$
- Common support: all combinations of treatments have non-zero probability (f(Z_i) > 0 for all Z_i ∈ Range g(·))

- An individual sees a text ($\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]}$: text seen by *i*)
- Function: text \rightsquigarrow treatments in text $(\boldsymbol{Z}_i \equiv g(\boldsymbol{X}_i))$

 \boldsymbol{Z}_i is a low-dimensional rep of \boldsymbol{X}_i , describing treatments Assume:

- 1) No "spillover" (SUTVA, Rubin 1986: $Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_N) = Y_i(\boldsymbol{X}_{d[i]})$)
- 2) Randomization or selection on observables: a) $Y_i(x) \perp X_{d[i]}$ and $\Pr(X_{d[i]} = x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, or
- 3) Sufficiency: For all \boldsymbol{X} and \boldsymbol{X}' such that $g(\boldsymbol{X}) = g(\boldsymbol{X}')$ then $E[Y_i(g(\boldsymbol{X}))] = E[Y_i(g(\boldsymbol{X}'))].$
- Common support: all combinations of treatments have non-zero probability (f(Z_i) > 0 for all Z_i ∈ Range g(·))

Proposition 1

Assumptions 1-4 are sufficient to identify the $AMCE_k$ for arbitrary k.

Discovering Treatments and Estimating Marginal Effects

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Grimmer (Stanford)

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

1) Randomly assign texts, \boldsymbol{X}_i , to respondents

* 伊ト * ヨト * ヨト

- 1) Randomly assign texts, \boldsymbol{X}_i , to respondents
- 2) Obtain responses \mathbf{Y}_i for each respondent

- 1) Randomly assign texts, \boldsymbol{X}_i , to respondents
- 2) Obtain responses \mathbf{Y}_i for each respondent
- 3) (Randomly) divide texts and responses into training and test set

- 1) Randomly assign texts, \boldsymbol{X}_i , to respondents
- 2) Obtain responses \mathbf{Y}_i for each respondent
- 3) (Randomly) divide texts and responses into training and test set
 - a) Avoid technical issues with using entire sample (Analyst-induced SUTVA violations)

- 1) Randomly assign texts, X_i , to respondents
- 2) Obtain responses \mathbf{Y}_i for each respondent
- 3) (Randomly) divide texts and responses into training and test set
 - a) Avoid technical issues with using entire sample (Analyst-induced SUTVA violations)
 - b) Ensure we avoid "p-hacking" (false discovery)

Discovering Interesting Treatments

Discovering function from texts to treatments g()

米田 ト 米田 ト 米田 ト

Discovering function from texts to treatments g()

- Use both documents and responses to discover the function

Discovering function from texts to treatments g()

- Use both documents and responses to discover the function
- Topic and Supervised Topic models workhorse text models (Blei, Ng, and Jordan 2003; Blei and McAuliffe, 2007)

Discovering function from texts to treatments g()

- Use both documents and responses to discover the function
- Topic and Supervised Topic models workhorse text models (Blei, Ng, and Jordan 2003; Blei and McAuliffe, 2007)

Treatments on simplex imply marginalization impossible \rightsquigarrow increase in one category implies decrease in other category

The Supervised Indian Buffet Process (sIBP, distinct [though related] to Quadrianto et al 2013)



Text and response depend on latent treatments

- Treatment assignment

 $z_{i,k} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_k)$ $\pi_k \sim \prod_{m=1}^k \eta_m$

 $\eta_m \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, 1)$

- Document Creation:

$$oldsymbol{X}_i \sim \mathsf{MVN}(oldsymbol{Z}_ioldsymbol{A}, \sigma_X^2 I_D)$$

 $oldsymbol{A}_k \sim \mathsf{MVN}(oldsymbol{0}, \sigma_A^2 I_D)$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y_i & \sim & \mathsf{MVN}(Z_i\beta,\tau^{-1}) \\ \beta | \tau & \sim & \mathsf{MVN}(\mathbf{0},\tau^{-1}I_K) \\ \tau & \sim & \mathsf{Gamma}(a,b) \end{array}$$

The Supervised Indian Buffet Process (sIBP, distinct [though related] to Quadrianto et al 2013)



Text and response depend on latent treatments

Grimmer (Stanford)

- Treatment assignment

$$Z_{i,k} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_k)$$
$$\pi_k \sim \prod_{m=1}^k \eta_m$$
$$\eta_m \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, 1)$$

- Document Creation:

$$\boldsymbol{X}_i \sim \mathsf{MVN}(\boldsymbol{Z}_i \boldsymbol{A}, \sigma_X^2 I_D)$$

 $\boldsymbol{A}_k \sim \mathsf{MVN}(\boldsymbol{0}, \sigma_A^2 I_D)$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y_i & \sim & \mathsf{MVN}(Z_i\beta,\tau^{-1}) \\ \Im|\tau & \sim & \mathsf{MVN}(\mathbf{0},\tau^{-1}I_{\mathcal{K}}) \\ \tau & \sim & \mathsf{Gamma}(a,b) \\ \blacksquare & \bullet \end{array}$$

The Supervised Indian Buffet Process (sIBP, distinct [though related] to Quadrianto et al 2013)



Text and response depend on latent treatments

- Treatment assignment

 $Z_{i,k} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_k)$ $\pi_k \sim \prod_{m=1}^k \eta_m$ $\eta_m \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, 1)$

- Document Creation:

$$oldsymbol{X}_i \sim \mathsf{MVN}(oldsymbol{Z}_ioldsymbol{A}, \sigma_X^2 I_D)$$

 $oldsymbol{A}_k \sim \mathsf{MVN}(oldsymbol{0}, \sigma_A^2 I_D)$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Y_i & \sim & \mathsf{MVN}(Z_i\beta,\tau^{-1}) \\ \beta | \tau & \sim & \mathsf{MVN}(\mathbf{0},\tau^{-1}I_{\mathcal{K}}) \\ \tau & \sim & \mathsf{Gamma}(a,b) \end{array}$$

The Supervised Indian Buffet Process (sIBP, distinct [though related] to Quadrianto et al 2013)



Text and response depend on latent treatments

Grimmer (Stanford)

- Treatment assignment

 $Z_{i,k} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\pi_k)$ $\pi_k \sim \prod_{m=1}^k \eta_m$ $\eta_m \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, 1)$

- Document Creation:

$$\boldsymbol{X}_i \sim \text{MVN}(\boldsymbol{Z}_i \boldsymbol{A}, \sigma_X^2 \boldsymbol{I}_D)$$

 $\boldsymbol{A}_k \sim \text{MVN}(\boldsymbol{0}, \sigma_A^2 \boldsymbol{I}_D)$

$$Y_i \sim \text{MVN}(Z_i\beta, \tau^{-1})$$

 $\beta | \tau \sim \text{MVN}(\mathbf{0}, \tau^{-1}I_K)$
 $\tau \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$
 $\Box \Rightarrow \langle \Box \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \Box \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \Xi \rangle \langle \Xi \rangle \equiv \langle \Im \rangle$

- 1) Randomly assign texts, \boldsymbol{X}_i , to respondents
- 2) Obtain responses \mathbf{Y}_i for each respondent
- 3) Divide texts and responses into training and test set
- 4) In training set: Discover mapping from texts to treatments

- 1) Randomly assign texts, \boldsymbol{X}_i , to respondents
- 2) Obtain responses \mathbf{Y}_i for each respondent
- 3) Divide texts and responses into training and test set
- 4) In training set: Discover mapping from texts to treatments
 - a) Apply supervised Indian Buffet Process (sIBP) to documents and responses to infer latent treatments in texts

- 1) Randomly assign texts, \boldsymbol{X}_i , to respondents
- 2) Obtain responses \mathbf{Y}_i for each respondent
- 3) Divide texts and responses into training and test set
- 4) In training set: Discover mapping from texts to treatments
 - a) Apply supervised Indian Buffet Process (sIBP) to documents and responses to infer latent treatments in texts
 - b) Model selection via nonparametric process, quantitative fit, and qualitative assessment

- 1) Randomly assign texts, \boldsymbol{X}_i , to respondents
- 2) Obtain responses \mathbf{Y}_i for each respondent
- 3) Divide texts and responses into training and test set
- 4) In training set: Discover mapping from texts to treatments
 - a) Apply supervised Indian Buffet Process (sIBP) to documents and responses to infer latent treatments in texts
 - b) Model selection via nonparametric process, quantitative fit, and qualitative assessment
- 5) In test set: infer treatments and measure their effect

- 1) Randomly assign texts, \boldsymbol{X}_i , to respondents
- 2) Obtain responses \mathbf{Y}_i for each respondent
- 3) Divide texts and responses into training and test set
- 4) In training set: Discover mapping from texts to treatments
 - a) Apply supervised Indian Buffet Process (sIBP) to documents and responses to infer latent treatments in texts
 - b) Model selection via nonparametric process, quantitative fit, and qualitative assessment
- 5) In test set: infer treatments and measure their effect
 - a) Use sIBP trained on training set to infer latent treatments on test set documents (without conditioning on test set responses)

- 1) Randomly assign texts, \boldsymbol{X}_i , to respondents
- 2) Obtain responses \mathbf{Y}_i for each respondent
- 3) Divide texts and responses into training and test set
- 4) In training set: Discover mapping from texts to treatments
 - a) Apply supervised Indian Buffet Process (sIBP) to documents and responses to infer latent treatments in texts
 - b) Model selection via nonparametric process, quantitative fit, and qualitative assessment
- 5) In test set: infer treatments and measure their effect
 - a) Use sIBP trained on training set to infer latent treatments on test set documents (without conditioning on test set responses)
 - b) Estimate effect of treatments with regression, with a bootstrap procedure to estimate uncertainty

- 4 伊ト イヨト イヨト

Barbara Mikulski 🛶 Barbara Schumacher

Schumacher was born and raised in the Highlandtown neighborhood of East Baltimore, the eldest of the three daughters of Christine Eleanor (nee Kutz) and William Schumacher. Her parents were both of Polish descent; her immigrant great-grandparents had owned a bakery in Baltimore. During her high school years at the Institute of Notre Dame, she worked in her parents' grocery store...

Barbara Mikulski 🛶 Barbara Schumacher

Schumacher was born and raised in the Highlandtown neighborhood of East Baltimore, the eldest of the three daughters of Christine Eleanor (nee Kutz) and William Schumacher. Her parents were both of Polish descent; her immigrant great-grandparents had owned a bakery in Baltimore. During her high school years at the Institute of Notre Dame, she worked in her parents' grocery store...

Protocol: For each respondent sees up to 3 texts from the corpus of $> \ensuremath{\text{2200}}$ biographies

4日 > 4日 > 4日 > 4日 > 4日 > 5000

Barbara Mikulski 🛶 Barbara Schumacher

Schumacher was born and raised in the Highlandtown neighborhood of East Baltimore, the eldest of the three daughters of Christine Eleanor (nee Kutz) and William Schumacher. Her parents were both of Polish descent; her immigrant great-grandparents had owned a bakery in Baltimore. During her high school years at the Institute of Notre Dame, she worked in her parents' grocery store...

Protocol: For each respondent sees up to 3 texts from the corpus of $> 2200 \mbox{ biographies}$

- Observe text

Barbara Mikulski 🛶 Barbara Schumacher

Schumacher was born and raised in the Highlandtown neighborhood of East Baltimore, the eldest of the three daughters of Christine Eleanor (nee Kutz) and William Schumacher. Her parents were both of Polish descent; her immigrant great-grandparents had owned a bakery in Baltimore. During her high school years at the Institute of Notre Dame, she worked in her parents' grocery store...

Protocol: For each respondent sees up to 3 texts from the corpus of $> 2200 \mbox{ biographies}$

- Observe text
- Feeling thermometer rating: 0-100

《日》 《圖》 《臣》 《臣》

Barbara Mikulski 🛶 Barbara Schumacher

Schumacher was born and raised in the Highlandtown neighborhood of East Baltimore, the eldest of the three daughters of Christine Eleanor (nee Kutz) and William Schumacher. Her parents were both of Polish descent; her immigrant great-grandparents had owned a bakery in Baltimore. During her high school years at the Institute of Notre Dame, she worked in her parents' grocery store...

Protocol: For each respondent sees up to 3 texts from the corpus of $> 2200 \mbox{ biographies}$

- Observe text
- Feeling thermometer rating: 0-100
- 1,886 participants, 5,303 responses

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - ぐへぐ
Candidate Biographies on Wikipedia: Setup

Barbara Mikulski 🛶 Barbara Schumacher

Schumacher was born and raised in the Highlandtown neighborhood of East Baltimore, the eldest of the three daughters of Christine Eleanor (nee Kutz) and William Schumacher. Her parents were both of Polish descent; her immigrant great-grandparents had owned a bakery in Baltimore. During her high school years at the Institute of Notre Dame, she worked in her parents' grocery store...

Protocol: For each respondent sees up to 3 texts from the corpus of $> 2200 \mbox{ biographies}$

- Observe text
- Feeling thermometer rating: 0-100
- 1,886 participants, 5,303 responses
- 2,651 training, 2,652 test

4日 > 4日 > 4日 > 4日 > 4日 > 5000

Candiate Biographies on Wikipedia: Results

Treatment	Keywords
3	director, university, received, president, phd, policy
5	elected, house, democratic, seat
6	united_states, military, combat, rank
9	law, school_law, law_school, juris_doctor, student
10	war, enlisted, united_states, assigned, army



・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト・ヨー シック

- Consumers log complaint about financial products

- Consumers log complaint about financial products

"The service representative was harsh and not listening to my questions. Attempting to collect on a debt I thought was in a grace period ...They were aggressive and unwilling to hear it."

- Consumers log complaint about financial products
- CFBP data: provides text of complaint and whether resolved "promptly"

- Consumers log complaint about financial products
- CFBP data: provides text of complaint and whether resolved "promptly"
- Plausible selection on observables: texts only what bureau has

- Consumers log complaint about financial products
- CFBP data: provides text of complaint and whether resolved "promptly"
- Plausible selection on observables: texts only what bureau has
- 113,424 total complaints

- Consumers log complaint about financial products
- CFBP data: provides text of complaint and whether resolved "promptly"
- Plausible selection on observables: texts only what bureau has
- 113,424 total complaints
- Train on 10%, Test on 90%

- Consumers log complaint about financial products
- CFBP data: provides text of complaint and whether resolved "promptly"
- Plausible selection on observables: texts only what bureau has
- 113,424 total complaints
- Train on 10%, Test on 90%

Treatment	Keywords
1	payment, card, debt , xxx , payment , loan
3	amount, call, account, time, pay, modification
4	interest, branch, number, xxxx xxxx, told, house
7	month, credit_card, collection, received, called, loan_modificat



Conclusions and Future Directions

- Empirical Test of assumptions (sufficiency); Clarification of risks (Analyst SUTVA, Causal Effects with LDA)
- New treatment discovery methods
- Application to non-text settings (images, voting records)
- Text as Treatment ; Text as Outcome (STM, Roberts et al); Text as Outcome and Treatment